## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

NAE-2005-2134

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:				Rose			Date: _7 <u>/2</u>		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETE				In the office _X_ (Y) At the project site _X_ (Y)					
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	KMATI	JN:				Connect			
State:									
County:							ld, Trumbull, CT		
Center coordinates of							36" W73° 14	<u>' 03"</u>	
Approximate size of s			ıding upla	ands & in a	cres):	9 <u>ac</u>			
Name of waterway or	· watersh	ed:				Saugatu	<u>ck</u>		
SITE CONDITIONS:									
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream	X								
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown				
			Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make		
			to Occur	Occur	Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by		X					
Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?		X					
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?		X					
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X					
1 Chack appropriate haves that hast describe potential for applicability of the Migratary Rird Pule to apply to ensite par jurisdictional isolated							

Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER:

Preliminary \_\_ Or Approved  $\underline{X}$ .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce Connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): For detailed information see 7/28/05 MFR for File

The parcels total approximately 9+ acres of predominantly industrial and commercial development and a single residential parcel that has been re-zoned as commercial. The 4,621 sf (0.11 acre) wetland and intermittent waterway under consideration originates as a result of the collection of stormwater in a detention basin offsite, and flows in a southwesterly direction until it apparently terminates at the bituminous parking area for 41 Monroe Turnpike. After a rainfall, water from the drainage feature overtops the parking area and either infiltrates into the sediments below or is removed through evaporation. A detailed search of the site and a review of historic development plans failed to identify the presence of an underground drainage pipe, functioning or otherwise, at 41 Monroe Turnpike.

Consequently, there does not appear to be a direct hydrological connection to the Old Mine Park road sedimentation basin that flows under Monroe Turnpike with a potential connection to Waters of the U.S.

The drainage feature is located 300 feet away from a sedimentation basin at 41 Monroe Turnpike that is the nearest hydrological connection to a Water of the U.S. This basin does not appear to support wetland functions or wildlife habitat that are of ecological significance. In addition, the subject wetland and drainage feature are approximately 700 feet away from the nearest wetland associated with a watercourse, and 1000' away from the nearest watercourse that consists of an unnamed tributary to the Pequonnock River. Finally, it is completely surrounded by developed industrial area and state highway. For these reasons it is logical to conclude that the wetland and waterway at the site is not ecologically adjacent to other Waters of the U.S.

Other waters and wetlands that are federal jurisdictional wetlands/waters are not present at the site. The south sedimentation basin at 41 Monroe Turnpike likely has a hydrological connection to another Water of the U.S. and may be considered such itself. However, it outside of the proposed delineated property under consideration.